Certification of Consistency

Certification ID: C20165

| Step 1 - Agency Profile | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A. GOVERNMENT AGENCY: | ☐ State Ag | ency 🗹 | Local Agency | | | | | | | |
| Government Agency: | Yolo County Resource Conser | vation District | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Contact: | Heather Nichols | | | | | | | | | |
| Address: | 221 West Court Street, Suite | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| City, State, Zip: | Woodland, CA 95695 | | | | | | | | | |
| Telephone/Fax: | 530-661-1688 ext. 12 / | | | | | | | | | |
| E-mail Address: | nichols@yolorcd.org | | | | | | | | | |
| B. GOVERNMENT AGENCY ROI | LE IN COVERED ACTION: | ✓ Will Carry Out | ☐ Will Approve | ☐ Will Fund | | | | | | |

Step 2 - Covered Action Profile

| A. | COVERED ACTION PROFILE: | | | Plan | | Program | | Project | |
|----|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Title: Yolo Bypass Corridors Fo | or Flood Esc | ape On Th | e Yolo Byp | ass Wildlife | Area | | | |
| В. | PROPONENT CARRYING OUT | COVERED A | CTION (If o | lifferent th | an State or L | ocal Agency): | | | |
| | Proponent Name: | Heather | Nichols | | | | | | |
| | Address: | 221 Wes | t Court Stre | eet, Suite 1 | | | | | |
| | City, State, Zip: | Woodlan | d, CA 9569 | 5 | | | | | |
| C. | AT LEAST 10 DAYS PRIOR TO T not subject to open meeting la 54950 et seq.]) with regard to their office, and mail to all per | ws (Bagley its certifica sons reque | r-Keene Op tion, must sting notice | en Meetin post for pu e. | g Act [Gov. C blic review a | ode sec 11120 e nd comment, th | t seq.] or th eir draft ce | ne Brown A rtification | Act [Gov. Code sec on their website and in |
| | Any state or local public agence actions. (Note: Any public comments re | | | | | _ | | | |
| | If applicable, did you comply v | with this re | quirement | ? | ☐ YES | ☑ NO □ |] N/A | | |
| D. | COVERED ACTION SUMMARY | :(Project De | escription f | rom appro | ved CEQA do | cument may be | used here) | | |
| | The project comprises habitat acre 0.5 demonstration planting included stakeholders: farmers foundation and jurisdictional replants, meeting objectives of the established the project will project wildlife and will be the figure or property. Monitoring wildlife to | ng). There w s/ranchers w egulatory a he YBWA Fi ovide year r rst partner | vill be full c with ag lea agencies. Tl nal Land M ound habit -based effo | oordinationses on the project value of the project value of the project value of the project of | n with the Ca YBWA, USDA will treat wee at Plan and w and escape coverate wildlife I | alif. Dept. of Fish a-Natural Resour eds and establish orking within all ver for a wide va nabitat with the | www.wildlife ces Consernadiverse applicable riety of mig ongoing ag | (YBWA) - to vation Servinis of nation regulatory gratory bire circultural of the various of the | the landowner. vice (NRCS), Yolo Basin ive, site-appropriate y restrictions. Once ds, pollinators and operations on the |
| E. | STATUS IN THE CEQA PROCES | S: | NO | DD has bee | n filed | | | | |
| F. | STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUM (if applicable) | BER: | 20 | 07072099 | | | | | |
| G. | COVERED ACTION ESTIMATED | TIME LINE | : | | | | | | |
| | ANTICIPATED START DATE: (If | available) | 1/1/2017 | | AN | ITICIPATED END | DATE: (If a | vailable) | 12/31/2020 |
| н. | COVERED ACTION TOTAL ESTI | MATED PR | OJECT COS | T : \$888 | ,856.00 | | | | |
| l. | IF A CERTIFICATION OF CONSI SUBMITTED, LIST DSC REFERE | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOU ENGAGE IN EARLY CONSULTATION WITH DSC STAFF AND/OR COMPLETE THE COVERED ACTION

Step 3 - Consistency with the Delta Plan

DELTA PLAN CHAPTER 2

G P1 / 23 CCR SECTION 5002 - Detailed Findings to Establish Consistency with the Delta Plan.

In General: (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (a), (b), (1)) This regulatory policy specifies what must be addressed in a certification of consistency filed by a State or local public agency with regard to any covered action.

This regulatory policy only applies after a "proposed action" has been determined by a State or local public agency to be a covered action because it is covered by one or more of the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 7 of this form. Inconsistency with this policy may be the basis for an appeal.

Covered actions, in order to be consistent with the Delta Plan, must be consistent with this regulatory policy and with each of the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapters 3, 4, 5 and 7 of this form implicated by the covered action. The Delta Stewardship Council acknowledges that in some cases, based upon the nature of the covered action, full consistency with all relevant regulatory policies may not be feasible. In those cases, the agency that files the certification of consistency may nevertheless determine that the covered action is consistent with the Delta Plan because, on whole, that action is consistent with the coequal goals. That determination must include a clear identification of areas where consistency with relevant regulatory policies is not feasible, an explanation of the reasons why it is not feasible, and an explanation of how the covered action nevertheless, on whole, is consistent with the coequal goals. That determination is subject to review by the Delta Stewardship Council on appeal;

| Specific | requirements | of this | regulatory | policy: |
|----------|--------------|---|------------|---------|
| | | • | 6, | P , . |

Mitigation Measures (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (b), (2))

The covered action is not exempt from CEQA, and includes applicable feasible mitigation measures identified in the Delta Plan's

| a. | Program Environmental Impact Report, (unless the measure(s) are within the exclusive jurisdiction of an agency other than the agency that files the certification of consistency), or substitute mitigation measures that the agency that files the certification of consistency finds are equally or more effective. | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Is the | e covered action consis | tent with this portion | of the re | gulatory policy? | | | |
| | | YES | | NO | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | N/A | | |
| | | Answer Justification: | The covered action is | exempt | from CEQA. | | | |
| b. | Best Available Science (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (b), (3)) The covered action documents use of best available science as relevant to the purpose and nature of the project. | | | | | | | |
| | Is the | e covered action consis | tent with this portion | of the re | gulatory policy? Appendix 1A is | referenced in this regulatory policy. | | |
| | \checkmark | YES | | NO | | N/A | | |
| | | Answer Justification: | See attached Scientif Science.pdf | c Basis fo | or Project. <u>Ch2b.Step-3-DP-Ch2_G</u> | -P1_23-CCR-Sec5002_b_Best Avail | | |
| c. | The | otive Management (23 (covered action involves sure continued implementation) | ecosystem restoration | or water | <u> </u> | uate provisions, appropriate to its scope, | | |
| | Is the | e covered action consis | tent with this portion | of the re | gulatory policy? Appendix 1B is r | eferenced in this regulatory policy. | | |
| | \checkmark | YES | | NO | | N/A | | |
| | | Answer Justification: | See attached Monito Flood Escape Corrid | _ | | .Monitoring Adaptive Mgmt Plan DC- | | |
| DEL | TA PL | AN CHAPTER 3 | | | | | | |
| | WR I | P1 / 23 CCR SECTION 50 | 03 - Reduce Reliance o | n the De | elta through Improved Regional V | Vater Self-Reliance | | |
| | Is the | e covered action consis | tent with this regulato | rv nalicy | 7 | | | |
| | | YES | | NO | · ☑ | N/A | | |
| | ш | 123 | ш | 140 | <u></u> | N/O | | |

| | Answer Justification: | The covered action do | es not involve w | ater that is exported fror | n, transferred through, or used in the Delta | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| WR | WR P2 / 23 CCR SECTION 5004 - Transparency in Water Contracting | | | | | | | | | |
| Is th | Is the covered action consistent with this regulatory policy? Appendix 2A and Appendix 2B are referenced in this regulatory policy. | | | | | | | | | |
| | YES | | NO | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Answer Justification: | subject to section 226 Improvement Act, Title | of P.L. 97-293, a e XXXIV of Public | s amended or section 34 | water supply or water transfer contracts 05(a)(2)(B) of the Central Valley Project ed, (Appendix 2B), and Rules and plement these laws. | | | | | |
| TA PL | AN CHAPTER 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Con | servation Measure: (23 | CCR SECTION 5002 (c)) | | | | | | | | |
| plan (1) E (2) A is de 5009 | A conservation measure proposed to be implemented pursuant to a natural community conservation plan or a habitat conservation plan that was: (1) Developed by a local government in the Delta; and (2) Approved and permitted by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife prior to May 16, 2013 is deemed to be consistent with the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapter 4 of this form (i.e. sections 5005 through 5009) if the certification of consistency filed with regard to the conservation measure includes a statement confirming the nature of the conservation measure from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. | | | | | | | | | |
| Is a | statement confirming tl | ne nature of the conser | vation measure | from the California Depa | rtment of Fish and Wildlife available? | | | | | |
| | YES | | NO | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | N/A | | | | | |
| | Answer Justification: | The covered action do plan. | es not include a | natural community cons | ervation plan or a habitat conservation | | | | | |
| ER P | 1 / 23 CCR SECTION 500 | 05 - Delta Flow Objectiv | es | | | | | | | |
| Is th | e covered action consis | tent with this regulator | y policy? | | | | | | | |
| | YES | | NO | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | N/A | | | | | |
| | Answer Justification: | The covered action do | es not significan | tly affect flow in the Delt | a. | | | | | |
| ER P | 2 / 23 CCR SECTION 500 | 06 - Restore Habitats at | Appropriate Ele | vations | | | | | | |
| Is th | e covered action consis | tent with this regulator | y policy? <u>Appen</u> | dix 3 and Appendix 4 are | e referenced in this regulatory policy. | | | | | |
| \checkmark | YES | | NO | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Consistent with Strategy 3.1, "Restore large areas of interconnected habitats" and Strategy 3.2, "Establish migratory corridors for fish, birds, and other animals along selected Delta river channels". These two strategies describe actions regarding inundation of floodplain areas, restoration of tidal and riparian habitat, and protection of grasslands and farmlands." The wildlife corridors established by this project will connect multiple habitat types in the North Delta Ecological Management Area. See attached Delta Plan Appendix 4 Figure 4-1, Habitat Types based on Elevation. The project is within the Yolo Bypass - a Priority Habitat Restoration zone. Ch4P2.DeltaPlan Apdx-4 Map Elevations for Restoration.pdf | | | | | | | | | |
| ER P | 23 / 23 CCR SECTION 500 | 07 - Protect Opportuniti | es to Restore Ha | bitat | | | | | | |
| Is th | e covered action consis | tent with this regulator | y policy? Appen | dix 4 and Appendix 5 are | e referenced in this regulatory policy. | | | | | |
| $\overline{\checkmark}$ | YES | | NO | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Answer Justification: | of Habitat Restoration | Projects. See att | | reas for Prioritization and Implementation Plan Map. <u>Ch4P3.DeltaPlan_Apdx-Fig_5-</u> <u>lap.pdf</u> | | | | | |

| Is th | e covered action consis | stent with this regulatory policy? Appe | ndix 8 is referenced in thi | s regulatory policy |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|
| | YES | NO | <u>Idix o</u> is referenced in this | N/A |
| | Answer Justification: | The covered action does not construct levees. | t new levees or substantia | ally rehabilitate or reconstruct existing |
| ER P | 5 / 23 CCR SECTION 500 | 09 - Avoid Introductions of and Habitat | for Invasive Nonnative S | pecies |
| Is the | e covered action consis | stent with this regulatory policy? | | |
| V | YES | □ NO | | N/A |
| | Answer Justification: | Our project design/implementation p California native plants only, with ong | | on-native invasive weeds and installing hout plant establishment phase. |
| TA PL | AN CHAPTER 5 | | | |
| <u>DP P</u> | 21 / 23 CCR SECTION 50 | <u>10</u> - Locate New Urban Development V | Visely | |
| Is the | e covered action consis | stent with this regulatory policy? Appe | ndix 6 and Appendix 7 are | e referenced in this regulatory policy. |
| | YES | □ NO | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | N/A |
| | Answer Justification: | The covered action does not involve r | new residential, commerci | al, or industrial development.; |
| DP P | 22 / 23 CCR SECTION 50 | 11 - Respect Local Land Use When Sitin | ng Water or Flood Facilitie | es or Restoring Habitats |
| Is the | e covered action consis | stent with this regulatory policy? | | |
| √ | YES | | П | N/A |
| | Answer Justification: | The Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area is desig County HNP/NCCP is scheduled for co replace non-native invasive weeds wire Bypass; project will be designed to be implemented in cooperation with adjuster. YHC Letter of Support.pdf | ompletion by end of 2017; th native plants adapted to compatible with current a | consistency is anticipated. The project wo flood conditions anticipated in the agricultural operations and will be |
| TA PL | AN CHAPTER 7 | | | |
| RR P | 1 - Prioritization of Sta | te Investments in Delta Levees and Risl | k Reduction | |
| Is the | e covered action consis | stent with this regulatory policy? | | |
| | YES | □ NO | M | N/A |
| | Answer Justification: | The covered action does not involve of including levee operations, maintenant | — discretionary State investm | |
| RR P | 2 - Require Flood Prote | ection for Residential Development in F | | |
| | · | • | | |
| is the | YES | tent with this regulatory policy? Apper | ndix 7 is referenced in this | s regulatory policy. |
| ш | | | _ | - |
| RR P | Answer Justification: 3 - Protect Floodways | The covered action does not involve r | iew residential developme | ent of five of filore parcers. |
| | _ | | | |
| _ | | tent with this regulatory policy? | _ | |
| \checkmark | YES | □ NO | | N/A |
| | Answer Justification: | The Delta Plan does not have jurisdict Protection Board. | ion, as this floodway is alr | ready regulated by the Central Valley Flo |
| | | | | |

| RR P | RR P4 - Floodplain Protection | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Is th | Is the covered action consistent with this regulatory policy? | | | | | | | | | |
| $\overline{\checkmark}$ | YES | | | NO | | | N/A | | | |
| | Answer Justification: | A preliminary and appropriately des Board (CVFPB). F encroachment pe Army Corps of Er | sign t urthe ermit | he project. This p r action will inclu from the CVFPB, | roject has been c de development | liscussed of a hyd | d with the raulic mod | Central Valle lel in suppor | y Flood Prot t of obtaining | ection g an |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |